## STBG-URBAN APPLICATION

## Project Information Sheet

1. Project Name and Location (in addition, attach at the end of this information sheet a location map that includes project dimensions and if applicable logical termini):Intersection Improvement, John Adams Pkwy and Ammon Rd

- Project Description (provide ample information regarding the details of the project): A traffic signal will be installed at the intersection of Ammon Rd. and John Adams Parkway to improve traffic flow, capacity and safety. Work will include adding left and right turn lanes at the intersection, construction of ADA ramps and drainage improvements. No additional Right-of-way is expected, but utility relocations may be required.
- Jurisdiction: City of Ammon
- Contact name: Tracy Bono, City Engineer

Phone: 208-612-4028
Email: tbono@cityofammon.us

- Project Type (select primary project type(s) and then check all other types of applicable improvements associated with the project):


## Roadway/Intersection Congestion Mitigation Application

## Primary Project Type

Roadway Expansion (width and/or length)Intersection ImprovementOther Congestion Mitigation Improvement
## Secondary Project Type

$\boxtimes$ Safety Improvement - Traffic Signal UpgradeSafety Improvement - OtherPavement UpgradeMulti-modal Improvement

Safety Application - Address high accident locations or prevent serious accidents at unsafe locations.

## Primary Project Type

Safety Improvement - Traffic Signal UpgradeSafety Improvement - OtherSecondary Project TypePavement UpgradeMulti-modal Improvement

## Pavement Rehabilitation/Reconstruction Application

## Primary Project Type

SealcoatOverlayReconstruction
## Secondary Project Type

Safety Improvement - Traffic Signal UpgradeSafety Improvement - OtherMulti-modal ImprovementTransportation Plan/Study Application
Primary Project Type
$\square$ Transportation Plan/Study

## Attach the appropriate application related to the "Primary Project Type."

- Current BMPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Primary Project Verification

It is required that the primary project be identified by name or reference in the LRTP: This project is not specifically called out in the LRTP. However this project does accommodate projects that are. Page 39 of the LRTP in table 10 mentions bike lanes along John Adams, this project will provide for a crossing across Ammon Rd. to accommodate this connection. Page 94 of the LRTP in Appendix F mentions the widening of this section of Ammon road. This project will widen the intersection to allow for the future expansion.

## Continue to next page...

 H5- Verify that the project is located in the current BMPO 2020 Urban Area

- Note all applicants/project sponsors are required to attend the March BMPO Policy Board meeting.


# Roadway/Intersection Congestion Mitigation Project Application 

This project requires the completion of ITD form 2435. Please use STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets > 2435 https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4iz.x|sx

## A) Roadway/Intersection Congestion Relief (0-40 points)

When answering questions consider how well the project provides immediate and long-term congestion relief at a roadway, intersection, or the network as a whole.

Using STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets > Capacity Worksheet answer the following: https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4jz.xlsx

How congested is the roadway segment or intersection currently and projected to be in the future?
The current intersection at capacity with a $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio at nearing 1.03. The intersection will significantly exceed capacity in the design year without the project.

1) Current v/c ratio: 1.03
2) Projected no-build v/c ratio: 1.25

To what degree is the project expected to improve capacity, not only on the roadway itself but elsewhere in the transportation system?

This will prepare the corridor for future widening to 4 lanes (possibly 5 lanes) and will improve north to south traffic on all of Crowley Road between US-26 and Sunnyside Road.

| 3) Projected build v/c ratio*: Signaize intersection for up to 5 lanes in the future |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location: | Transportation system v/c ratios*: |  |  |  |
| 4) | Ammon | No-build v/c ratio: | 1.25 | Build v/c ratio: | 0.53 |
| 5) | John Adams | No-build v/c ratio: | 0.64 | Build v/c ratio: | 0.52 |
| 6) |  | No-build v/c ratio: |  | Build v/c ratio: |  |
| 7) |  | No-build v/c ratio: |  | Build v/c ratio: |  |
| 8) |  | No-build v/c ratio: |  | Build v/c ratio: |  |

*may require additional model runs to determine traffic projections under build conditions.

## B) Safety (0-15 points)

When answering questions consider if the congestion mitigation project includes safety improvements that may benefit both motorists and other users of the transportation system.

What safety improvements are being coordinated with the pavement of the roadway? Why are the improvements deemed important?

There is a history of angle turning and failure to yield crashes that the installation of traffic signal should help mitigate.

## C) Pavement Rehabilitation (0-15 points)

When answering questions consider if the congestion mitigation project includes pavement enhancements that helps preserve the roadway network.

Using - STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets > Pavement Rating System answer the following: https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4jz.xlsx

What number would you assign as the pavement surface rating?

## 6

Explain the current pavement condition as it relates to the rating?
Intersection has longitudinal cracking and previous patches needing repair.

## D) Multi-modal and Accessibility (0-10 points)

When answering questions consider if the congestion mitigation project includes multi-modal facilities for improved accessibility, connectivity and safety.

Identify plan or study, other than the LRTP, that recognizes the multi-modal project or need:
The connecting our community plan shows a bike path connection along John Adams from Ammon road to 25th E. This project will allow for a pedestrian crossing across Ammon Road to make that connection.

What bicycle and pedestrian improvements, if any, are included in the project and why are the improvements deemed important?

This project will allow for pedestrian crossing on all legs of the the intersection of Ammon Road and John Adams Pkwy.

## E) Support Economic Vitality (0-10 points)

When answering questions consider if the project improves access to housing, jobs, recreation and other areas of economic importance thus promoting a transportation system that enhances the movement of people and goods.

Does the project apply strategies that improves traffic flow and access to areas that are economically vital to the area? If so, how?

Ammon road (35th E) is one of the main arterials through Ammon. This is a main thoroughfare for both Ammon and county residents to get to work, school, and other services. This project would increase the LOS of the intersection and give residents in the neighboring subdivisions easier access to Ammon road.

## F) Project Feasibility (0-10 points)

When answering questions consider if the project is good fit for federal funds based on cost and potential environmental impacts.

Using - STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets >1150 answer the following: https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4iz.xlsx

What is the total estimated cost of the project?
\$824,000
Is the project cost consistent with STBG-Urban fund availability and limitations? Yes
What is the estimated cost per mile? $\$ 2,685,000$
Is the project coordinated with other funding sources? If so, explain.
There are no additional funding sources

What potential environmental impacts may require remediation?
There are no expected environmental impacts. The project will not impact any irrigation facilities or other potential Waters of the US. This is not a Type 1 project so an noise analysis is not necessary.

## ATTACHMENTS:

■ ITD FORM 2435

- PROJECT LOCATION MAP

■ PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND/OR TYPICAL SECTION
■ CAPACITY WORKSHEET

- ACCIDENT WORKSHEET (if applicable)

■ DOCUMENTATION FROM RELEVANT PLANS, ORDINANCES OR POLICIES RELATED TO THE PROJECT (at a minimum the project should be identified by project, need or reference in the current BMPO LRTP. If multi-modal improvements are included additional documentation is needed)
回 ITD FORM 1150

- OPTIONAL MATERIAL THAT IS DEEMED IMPORTANT FOR THE PROPER EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT


## Please Complete Additional Supplementary Documents

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program - Urban (STBG-U)
Rating Worksheet - Roadway/Intersection Congestion Mitigation
https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Roadway-Scoring-Sheet-hsds.xlsx

Double click on form to complete
ITD 2436 (Rev. 01-09)
Local Federal-Aid Project Request

## Instructions

1. Under Character of Proposed Work, mark appropriate boxes when work includes Bridge Approaches in addition to a Bridge.
2. Attach a Vicinity Map showing the extent of the project limits.
3. Attach an ITD 1150, Project Cost Summary Sheet.
4. Signature of an appropriate local official is the only kind recognized.

Note: In Applying for a Federal-Aid Project, You are Agreeing to Follow all of the Federal Requirements Which Can Add Substantial Time and Costs to the Development of the Project.


## Estimated Costs (Attach ITD 1150, Project Cost Summary Sheet)

Preliminary Engineering (ITD 1150, Line 1) $\$ 65000$
Right-of-Way (ITD 1150, Line 2)
$\$ 0$
Construction (ITD 1150, Line 18)
$\$ 759000$

| Preliminary Engineering By: $\square$ Sponsor Forces $\quad \boxtimes$ Consultant |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Checklist (Provide Names, Locations, and Type of Facilities) |  |
| Railroad Crossing |  |
| Within 2 miles of an Airport |  |
| Parks (City, County, State or Federal) |  |
| Environmentally Sensitive Areas |  |
| Federal Lands (Indian, BLM, etc.) |  |
| Historical Sites |  |
| Schools |  |
| Other |  |
| Additional Right-of-Way Required: $\square$ None $\quad$ Q Minor (1-3Parcels) $\square$ Extensive (4 or More Parcels) |  |
| Will any Person or Business be Displaced: $\square$ Yes $\quad$ VNo $\quad \square$ Possibly |  |


| Standards | Existing | Proposed | Standards | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Lanes | None | 4 | Roadway Width <br> (Shoulder to Shoulder) | 0 ft | ft |
| Pavement Type | None | Asphalt | Right-of-Way Width | ft | ft |



Additional Information to be Furnished by the District

| Functional Classification Major Collector | Terrain Type Flat | 20 | ADTIDHV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




Capacity Worksheet for Roadway Segments

| Roadway | 17th and John Adams |
| :--- | :---: |
| Segment | itersection of 17th and John Adam |
| Current/Model Year | 2019 |
| Functional Classification | Minor Arterial |
| Number of Current/Future Lanes | 3 |
| Capacity Threshold | 10501 |
| Current/Projected Traffic Volume | 6759 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.64 |

## Basic Intersection Crash Performance

Location:
Years:

Input Analysis Period (in years)
Input \# Fatal Crashes at Intersection (Not \# of Persons) Input \# of 'A' Severity Crashes at Intersection Input \# of 'B' Severity Crashes at Intersection Input \# of 'C' Severity Crashes at Intersection Input \# of Property Damage Crashes at Intersection Input Average \# of Vehicles Entering Intersection Daily*

| 5 |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 11 |
| 17507 |

Historical Crash Data - WebCARS Office of Highway Safety Crash Analysis Reporting System

Refer to Traffic Counts Worksheet
*Average number of vehicles entering intersection can be calculated by adding ADTs for all of the intersection
legs, and then dividing that by 2 . This assumes that directional split of the roadway for the average day is $50 / 50$

Intersection Crash Rate (average 0.65) = Intersection Severity Rate (average 1.00) = Intersection Crash Density (average 5.00) =

| 0.50 | per million entering vehicles |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.72 |  |
| 3.20 | crashes per year |

Crash Rate Score
Severity Rate Score
Crash Density Score
Overall Rate (average 1.33)

| 2 |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 0 |
| 1.00 |


| Crash | Severity |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 C 488406 | PDO |
| 18 C 498078 | PDO |
| 19 C 531629 | C Injury |
| 19 C 531524 | C Injury |
| 19 C 507160 | PDO |
| $20 C 551167$ | B Injury |
| $20 C 542901$ | C Injury |
| $20 C 556604$ | PDO |
| $20 C 552852$ | PDO |
| $20 C 546655$ | PDO |
| $20 C 553750$ | PDO |
| 21C566950 | PDO |
| 21C581883 | PDO |
| 21C589355 | PDO |
| $22 \mathrm{C616447}$ | PDO |
| $22 \mathrm{C607710}$ | B Injur |

Table 10
High Priority Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects

| Location A | Location B | Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Ammon Derrald Ave/Owen St East-West Ammon | $25^{\text {th }}$ East to Ammon Road Ammon City Bridge to McCowin Park | Bicycle Boulevard <br> Bicycle Boulevard |
| John Adams Parkway | Where development occurs | Bike Lanes |
| City of Idaho Falls <br> Idaho Canal <br> Greenbelt Southwest <br> Greenbelt Northeast <br> Saturn Avenue <br> City of Iona <br> Main Street <br> Denning Avenue <br> Olsen Avenue <br> Free Avenue <br> Crook Road <br> City of Ucon <br> $109^{\text {th }}$ North <br> 41 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ East <br> $105^{\text {th }}$ North <br> Yellowstone Hwy <br> $45^{\text {th }}$ East <br> $105^{\text {th }}$ North | North and East <br> Snake River Landing to Sunnyside Rd <br> Railroad Crossing terminus to E River <br> Grandview to Pancheri <br> Owens to Denning <br> Main to Olsen <br> Denning to Free <br> Olsen to Crook <br> Free to Railroad Tracks <br> Yellowstone to $45^{\text {th }} \mathrm{E}\left(40^{\text {th }} \mathrm{E}, 41^{\text {st }} \mathrm{E}\right)$ <br> $107^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}$ to $105^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}\left(105^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}\right)$ <br> Ucon Park/Ride Lot to $45^{\text {th }} \mathrm{E}$ <br> $113^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}$ to $105^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $109^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}$ to $105^{\text {th }} \mathrm{N}$ <br> US-20 (west of) to Ucon Park/Ride Lot | Multi-Use Path <br> Multi-Use Path <br> Multi-Use Path <br> Bike Lanes <br> Sidewalks <br> Sidewalks <br> Sidewalks <br> Sidewalks <br> Sidewalks <br> Sidewalks and Crossings <br> Sidewalks and Crossing <br> Multi-Use Path <br> Sidewalks <br> Multi-Use Path <br> Multi-Use Path |

## Recent Improvements to Address Bicycle and Pedestrian Needs

It has been an emphasis of the area to make bicycle and pedestrian improvements in coordination with roadway projects and to improve ADA accessibility. The projects identified in Table 11 reflect that emphasis.

## Appendix F - Planned Projects 2035-2050* Adjustments to TransCAD Build Model Networks

- 1st Street, 25th East (Hitt) to 45th East (Crowley) - widen to 5 lanes (note Ammon to $45^{\text {th }} \mathrm{E}$ will be widened to 3 lanes and then eventually to 5 lanes)
- 15 th East (St. Leon), US-20 to US-26 - widen to 5 lanes and signals at US-20 IC ramps
- 17th Street, Ammon to $45^{\text {th }}$ East (Crowley) - widen to 5 lanes
- 25th East (Hitt), US-20 to US-26 - widen to 5 lanes
- 25 th East (Hitt), $1 / 2$ mile north to $49^{\text {th }}$ South
- 49th South (Township), $5^{\text {th }}$ West to $25^{\text {th }}$ East (Hitt) - widen to 5 lanes and add signals at 5th East (Holmes) and 15th East (St. Clair)
- 45th East (Crowley), US-26 to Sunnyside - widen to 5 lanes and add signal at Sunnyside and mini-roundabout at 21 st Street
- Ammon Road, US-26 to $17^{\text {th }}$ Street - widen to 5 lanes and add a roundabout at Iona
- Ammon Road, Sunnyside to $49^{\text {th }}$ South (Township) - widen to 5 lanes and add a mini-roundabout at Township
- Lincoln Road, Ammon to $45^{\text {th }}$ East (Crowley) - widen to 5 lanes
- Sunnyside Road, Ammon to $45^{\text {th }}$ East (Crowley) - widen 5 lanes and add a roundabout at Crowley

Note: I-15/US-20 realignment was not added to the model at this time. It is anticipated that the impacts will be substantial and addressed in an upcoming LRTP amendment.
*Projects may be completed before 2035. However, because there currently are no identifiable funding sources for the projects, they were included in the 2050 model.

Round Estimates to Nearest \$1,000

| Key Number | Project Number | Date |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location <br> City of Ammon: John Adams Pkwy and Ammon Rd |  |  |  | District |
| Segment Code | Begin Mile Post |  |  |  |
| $004320 / 004040$ | $3.48 / 8.60$ | $3.61 / 8.78$ | 6 |  |


|  | Previous ITD 1150 | Initial or Revise To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Preliminary Engineering (PE) | \$5,000 |  |
| 1b. Preliminary Engineering by Consultant (PEC) | \$60,000 |  |
| 2. Right-of-Way: Number of Parcels Number of Relocations |  |  |
| 3. Utility Adjustments: Work Materials By State By Others |  |  |
| 4. Earthwork |  |  |
| 5. Drainage and Minor Structures |  |  |
| 6. Pavement and Base |  |  |
| 7. Railroad Crossing: |  |  |
| Grade/Separation Structure $\qquad$ <br> At-Grade Signals Yes No |  |  |
| 8. Bridges/Grade Separation Structures: |  |  |
|  | \$0.00 |  |
| Location |  |  |
| Repair/Widening/Rehabilitation Length/Width | \$0.00 |  |
| Location |  |  |
| 9. Traffic Items (Delineators, Signing, Channelization, Lighting, and Signals) | \$600,000 |  |
| 10. Temporary Traffic Control (Sign, Pavement Markings, Flagging, and Traffic Separation) | \$0 |  |
| 11. Detours | \$0 |  |
| 12. Landscaping | \$0 |  |
| 13. Mitigation Measures | \$0 |  |
| 14. Other Items (Roadside Development, Guardrail, Fencing, Sidewalks, Curb and Gutter, C.S.S. Items) | \$0 |  |
| 15. Cost of Constructions (Items 3 through 14) | \$600,000 | \$0 |
| 16. Mobilization 10 \% of Item 15 | \$60,000 | \$0 |
| 17. Construction Engineer and Contingencies $15 \%$ of Items 15 and 16 | \$99,000 | \$0 |
| 18. Total Construction Cost (15+16+17) | \$759,000 |  |
| 19. Total Project Cost ( $1+2+18$ ) | \$824,000 |  |
| 20. Project Cost Per Mile | \$2,658,000 | \$1,000 |
| Prepared By: <br> Kelly Hoopes |  |  |

## Existing Conditions



