

# **STBG-URBAN APPLICATION**

## **Project Information Sheet**

- Project Name and Location (in addition, attach at the end of this information sheet a location map that includes project dimensions and if applicable logical termini):Intersection Improvement, John Adams Pkwy and Ammon Rd
- Project Description (provide ample information regarding the details of the project): A traffic signal will be installed at the intersection of Ammon Rd. and John Adams Parkway to improve traffic flow, capacity and safety. Work will include adding left and right turn lanes at the intersection, construction of ADA ramps and drainage improvements. No additional Right-of-way is expected, but utility relocations may be required.
- Jurisdiction: City of Ammon
- Contact name: Tracy Bono, City Engineer

Phone: 208-612-4028 Email: tbono@cityofammon.us

• Project Type (select primary project type(s) and then check all other types of applicable improvements associated with the project):

#### **Roadway/Intersection Congestion Mitigation Application**

#### Primary Project Type

- □ Roadway Expansion (width and/or length)
- ⊠ Intersection Improvement
- □ Other Congestion Mitigation Improvement

#### Secondary Project Type

- Safety Improvement Traffic Signal Upgrade
- □ Safety Improvement Other
- □ Pavement Upgrade
- □ Multi-modal Improvement

#### Safety Application – Address high accident locations or prevent serious accidents at unsafe locations.

#### Primary Project Type

- □ Safety Improvement Traffic Signal Upgrade
- □ Safety Improvement Other



#### Secondary Project Type

Pavement Upgrade

□ Multi-modal Improvement

#### Pavement Rehabilitation/Reconstruction Application

Primary Project Type

 $\Box$  Sealcoat

□ Overlay

□ Reconstruction

Secondary Project Type Safety Improvement – Traffic Signal Upgrade

□ Safety Improvement – Other

□ Multi-modal Improvement

#### **Transportation Plan/Study Application**

Primary Project Type

## Attach the appropriate application related to the "Primary Project Type."

#### • Current BMPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Primary Project Verification

It is required that the primary project be identified by name or reference in the LRTP: This project is not specifically called out in the LRTP. However this project does accommodate projects that are. Page 39 of the LRTP in table 10 mentions bike lanes along John Adams, this project will provide for a crossing across Ammon Rd. to accommodate this connection. Page 94 of the LRTP in Appendix F mentions the widening of this section of Ammon road. This project will widen the intersection to allow for the future expansion.

#### Continue to next page...



• Verify that the project is located in the current BMPO 2020 Urban Area



• Note all applicants/project sponsors are required to attend the March BMPO Policy Board meeting.

# Roadway/Intersection Congestion Mitigation Project Application

*This project requires the completion of ITD form 2435. Please use STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets > 2435* <u>https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4jz.xlsx</u>

#### A) Roadway/Intersection Congestion Relief (0-40 points)

When answering questions consider how well the project provides immediate and long-term congestion relief at a roadway, intersection, or the network as a whole.

Using STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets > Capacity Worksheet answer the following: https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4jz.xlsx

How congested is the roadway segment or intersection currently and projected to be in the future?

1) Current v/c ratio:		
2) Projected no-build v/c ratio:		

To what degree is the project expected to improve capacity, not only on the roadway itself but elsewhere in the transportation system?

3) Projected build v/c ratio*:						
	Location:	Transportation system v/c ratios*:				
4)		No-build v/c ratio:		Build v/c ratio:		
5)		No-build v/c ratio:		Build v/c ratio:		
6)		No-build v/c ratio:		Build v/c ratio:		
7)		No-build v/c ratio:		Build v/c ratio:		
8)		No-build v/c ratio:		Build v/c ratio:		

\*may require additional model runs to determine traffic projections under build conditions.

#### B) Safety (0-15 points)

When answering questions consider if the congestion mitigation project includes safety improvements that may benefit both motorists and other users of the transportation system.

What safety improvements are being coordinated with the pavement of the roadway? Why are the improvements deemed important?

#### C) Pavement Rehabilitation (0-15 points)

When answering questions consider if the congestion mitigation project includes pavement enhancements that helps preserve the roadway network.

Using - STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets > Pavement Rating System answer the following: https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4jz.xlsx

What number would you assign as the pavement surface rating?

Explain the current pavement condition as it relates to the rating?

#### D) Multi-modal and Accessibility (0-10 points)

When answering questions consider if the congestion mitigation project includes multi-modal facilities for improved accessibility, connectivity and safety.

Identify plan or study, other than the LRTP, that recognizes the multi-modal project or need:

What bicycle and pedestrian improvements, if any, are included in the project and why are the improvements deemed important?

#### E) Support Economic Vitality (0-10 points)

When answering questions consider if the project improves access to housing, jobs, recreation and other areas of economic importance thus promoting a transportation system that enhances the movement of people and goods.

Does the project apply strategies that improves traffic flow and access to areas that are economically vital to the area? If so, how?

#### F) Project Feasibility (0-10 points)

When answering questions consider if the project is good fit for federal funds based on cost and potential environmental impacts.

Using - STBG-U Application Data and Worksheets >1150 answer the following: https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Application-Data-and-Worksheets-x4jz.xlsx

What is the total estimated cost of the project?	
Is the project cost consistent with STBG-Urban	und availability and limitations?
What is the estimated cost per mile?	
Is the project coordinated with other funding so	urces? If so, explain.

What potential environmental impacts may require remediation?

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- □ ITD FORM 2435
- $\hfill\square$  PROJECT LOCATION MAP
- □ PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND/OR TYPICAL SECTION
- □ CAPACITY WORKSHEET
- □ ACCIDENT WORKSHEET (if applicable)
- DOCUMENTATION FROM RELEVANT PLANS, ORDINANCES OR POLICIES RELATED TO THE PROJECT (at a minimum the project should be identified by project, need or reference in the current BMPO LRTP. If multi-modal improvements are included additional documentation is needed)
- □ ITD FORM 1150
- $\hfill\square$  Optional material that is deemed important for the proper evaluation of the project

### **Please Complete Additional Supplementary Documents**

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program – Urban (STBG-U) Rating Worksheet – Roadway/Intersection Congestion Mitigation

https://www.bmpo.org/s/STBG-U-Roadway-Scoring-Sheet-hsds.xlsx

#### Double click on form to complete

### Local Federal-Aid Project Request



Instructions

ITD 2435 (Rev. 01-09)

Under Character of Proposed Work, mark appropriate boxes when work includes Bridge Approaches in addition to a Bridge.
Attach a Vicinity Map showing the extent of the project limits.
Attach an ITD 1150, Project Cost Summary Sheet.
Signature of an appropriate local official is the only kind recognized.

Note: In Applying for a Federal-Aid Project, You are Agreeing to Follow all of the Federal Requirements Which Can Add Substantial Time and Costs to the Development of the Project.

Sponsor (City, County, High	way District, State/Fede	ral Agency)					Date
				1	In the		Diduct conth
Project Title (Name of Stree	t or Road) Diawy and Ammon	Dd	T286	lumber	Project Leng	π	N/A
Project Limits (Local Landm SegCode 004320 MP 3 SegCode 004040 MP 1	arks at Each End of the 3.48 to 3.61 8.60 to 8.78	Project)	1200				10/71
Character of Proposed	Work (Mark Approp	riate Items)					
S Excavation	Bicycle Facili	ties	🛛 Utili	ties	🛛 Sid	lewalk	
🛛 Drainage	🛛 Traffic Contro	1	🛛 Lan	dscaping	🗌 Sea	al Coat	
🛛 Base	🗌 Bridge(s)		🗌 Gua	ardrail	$\boxtimes$		Fraffic Signal
🛛 Bit. Surface	🔀 Curb & Gutter		🛛 Ligh	ting			
Estimated Costs (Attac	h ITD 1150, Project C	ost Summar	y Sheet)		•		
Preliminary Engin	eering (ITD 1150, Li	ne 1) \$650	00				
Right-of-Way (ITD	1150, Line 2)	\$0					
Construction (ITD	1150, Line 18)	\$ 759	000				
Preliminary Engineerin	g By: 🔲 Sponsor	Forces	🛛 Consulta	ant			
Checklist (Provide Name	es, Locations, and Tv	pe of Facilitie	es)				
Railroad Crossing							
Within 2 miles of an Air	port						
Parks (City, County, Stat	e or Federal)			-			
Environmentally Sensit	ive Areas			1			
Federal Lands (Indian, I	3LM, etc.)						
Historical Sites					=		
Schools				÷.			
Other							r c
Additional Right-of-Way	Required: 🗌 Noi	ne 🛛 Mi	nor (1-3 Pa	rcels)	tensive (4 c	or More Parcel	s)
Will any Person or Bus	iness be Displaced	: 🗌 Yes	No 🛛	Possibly		-	
Standards	Existing	Pro	posed	Standa	rds	Existing	Proposed
Number of Lanes	None		4	Roadway Wid (Shoulder to Si	lth houlder)	0 ft	ft
Pavement Type	None	As	sphalt	Right-of-Way	Width	ft	ft
Sponsor's Signature	St			Title	2.74	Admin	vistrata
Additional Information	to be Furnished	by the Dist	rict	2. 	~		4
Functional Classificatio	n Major Collector	- Ten	ain Type	Flat	20	ADT/DH	IV





#### **Capacity Worksheet for Roadway Segments**

Roadway	17th and John Adams
Segment	tersection of 17th and John Adam
Current/Model Year	2019
Functional Classification	Minor Arterial
Number of Current/Future Lanes	3
Capacity Threshold	10501
Current/Projected Traffic Volume	6759
V/C Ratio	0.64

#### **Basic Intersection Crash Performance** Location: Years:

Input Analysis Period (in years) Input # Fatal Crashes at Intersection (Not # of Persons) Input # of 'A' Severity Crashes at Intersection Input # of 'B' Severity Crashes at Intersection Input # of 'C' Severity Crashes at Intersection Input # of Property Damage Crashes at Intersection Input Average # of Vehicles Entering Intersection Daily\*

_		Crash	Severity
		18C488406	PDO
Historical Crash Data - WebCARS Off	ce of Highway Safety Crash Analysis Reporting System	18C498078	PDO
		19C531629	C Injury
		19C531524	C Injury
		19C507160	PDO
		20C551167	B Injury
Refer to Traffic Counts Worksheet		20C542901	C Injury
		20C556604	PDO
Iding ADTs for all of the intersection		200552052	

\*Average number of vehicles entering intersection can be calculated by adding ADTs for all of the intersection legs, and then dividing that by 2. This assumes that directional split of the roadway for the average day is 50/50

Intersection Crash Rate (average 0.65) = Intersection Severity Rate (average 1.00) = Intersection Crash Density (average 5.00) =

0.50	per million entering vehicles
0.72	
3.20	crashes per year
	-

Crash Rate Score Severity Rate Score Crash Density Score Overall Rate (average 1.33)

5

0

0

2

3

11

17507

Crash	Severit
18C488406	PDO
18C498078	PDO
19C531629	C Injury
19C531524	C Injury
19C507160	PDO
20C551167	B Injury
20C542901	C Injury
20C556604	PDO
20C552852	PDO
20C546655	PDO
20C553750	PDO
21C566950	PDO
21C581883	PDO
21C589355	PDO
22C616447	PDO
22C607710	B Injury

<u> </u>		
Location A	Location B	Project
City of Ammon		
Derrald Ave/Owen St	25 <sup>th</sup> East to Ammon Road	Bicycle Boulevard
East-West Ammon	Ammon City Bridge to McCowin Park	Bicycle Boulevard
John Adams Parkway	Where development occurs	Bike Lanes
City of Idaho Falls		
Idaho Canal	North and East	Multi-Use Path
Greenbelt Southwest	Snake River Landing to Sunnyside Rd	Multi-Use Path
Greenbelt Northeast	Railroad Crossing terminus to E River	Multi-Use Path
Saturn Avenue	Grandview to Pancheri	Bike Lanes
City of Iona		
Main Street	Owens to Denning	Sidewalks
Denning Avenue	Main to Olsen	Sidewalks
Olsen Avenue	Denning to Free	Sidewalks
Free Avenue	Olsen to Crook	Sidewalks
Crook Road	Free to Railroad Tracks	Sidewalks
City of Ucon		
109 <sup>th</sup> North	Yellowstone to 45 <sup>th</sup> E (40 <sup>th</sup> E, 41 <sup>st</sup> E)	Sidewalks and Crossings
41 <sup>st</sup> East	107 <sup>th</sup> N to 105 <sup>th</sup> N (105 <sup>th</sup> N)	Sidewalks and Crossing
105 <sup>th</sup> North	Ucon Park/Ride Lot to 45 <sup>th</sup> E	Multi-Use Path
Yellowstone Hwy	113 <sup>th</sup> N to 105 <sup>th</sup> N	Sidewalks
45 <sup>th</sup> East	109 <sup>th</sup> N to 105 <sup>th</sup> N	Multi-Use Path
105 <sup>th</sup> North	US-20 (west of) to Ucon Park/Ride Lot	Multi-Use Path

# Table 10High Priority Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects

#### RECENT IMPROVEMENTS TO ADDRESS BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN NEEDS

It has been an emphasis of the area to make bicycle and pedestrian improvements in coordination with roadway projects and to improve ADA accessibility. The projects identified in Table 11 reflect that emphasis.

# Appendix F - Planned Projects 2035-2050\* Adjustments to TransCAD Build Model Networks

- 1st Street, 25th East (Hitt) to 45th East (Crowley) widen to 5 lanes (note Ammon to 45<sup>th</sup> E will be widened to 3 lanes and then eventually to 5 lanes)
- 15th East (St. Leon), US-20 to US-26 widen to 5 lanes and signals at US-20 IC ramps
- 17th Street, Ammon to 45<sup>th</sup> East (Crowley) widen to 5 lanes
- 25th East (Hitt), US-20 to US-26 widen to 5 lanes
- 25th East (Hitt), <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile north to 49<sup>th</sup> South
- 49th South (Township), 5<sup>th</sup> West to 25<sup>th</sup> East (Hitt) widen to 5 lanes and add signals at 5th East (Holmes) and 15th East (St. Clair)
- 45th East (Crowley), US-26 to Sunnyside widen to 5 lanes and add signal at Sunnyside and mini-roundabout at 21st Street
- Ammon Road, US-26 to 17<sup>th</sup> Street widen to 5 lanes and add a roundabout at Iona
- Ammon Road, Sunnyside to 49<sup>th</sup> South (Township) widen to 5 lanes and add a mini-roundabout at Township
- Lincoln Road, Ammon to 45<sup>th</sup> East (Crowley) widen to 5 lanes
- Sunnyside Road, Ammon to 45<sup>th</sup> East (Crowley) widen 5 lanes and add a roundabout at Crowley

Note: I-15/US-20 realignment was not added to the model at this time. It is anticipated that the impacts will be substantial and addressed in an upcoming LRTP amendment.

\*Projects may be completed before 2035. However, because there currently are no identifiable funding sources for the projects, they were included in the 2050 model.



Round Estimates to Nearest \$1,000

Key Number Project Number				Date	
Location				District	
City of Ammon: John Adams Pkwy and Ammon Rd				6	
Segment Code	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length in Miles		
004320 / 004040	3.48 / 8.60	3.61 / 8.78	0.31		
			Previous ITD 11	50 Initial or Revise To	
1a. Preliminary Enginee	ering (PE)		\$5,000		
1b. Preliminary Enginee	ering by Consultant (PEC)		\$60,000		
2. Right-of-Way Numb	er of Parcels Number c	of Relocations			
3. Utility Adjustments:	Work Materials By Sta	ate By Others			
4. Earthwork					
5. Drainage and Minor	Structures				
6. Pavement and Base	9				
7. Railroad Crossing:					
Grade/Separation St	tructure				
At-Grade Signals	Yes No				
8. Bridges/Grade Sepa	aration Structures:				
New Structure Length/Width		\$0.00			
Location					
Repair/Widening/Rehabilitation Length/Width		\$0.00			
Location					
9. Traffic Items (Deline	ators, Signing, Channelization, Lig	hting, and Signals)	\$600,000		
10. Temporary Traffic Co Separation)	ontrol (Sign, Pavement Markings, F	Flagging, and Traffic	\$0		
11. Detours			\$0		
12. Landscaping			\$0		
13. Mitigation Measures	6		\$0		
14. Other Items (Roadside Development, Guardrail, Fencing, Sidewalks, Curb and Gutter, C.S.S. Items)		\$0			
15. Cost of Constructions (Items 3 through 14)		\$600,000	\$0		
16. Mobilization 10 % of Item 15		\$60,000	\$0		
17. Construction Enginee	er and Contingencies 15 %	of Items 15 and 16	\$99,000	\$0	
18. Total Construction Cost (15 + 16 + 17)		\$759,000			
19. Total Project Cost (1 + 2 + 18)			\$824,000		
20. Project Cost Per Mile			\$2,658,000	\$1,000	
Kelly Hoopes					

# **Existing Conditions**



